Building Health Through Planning

The Healthy Development Mapping and Monitoring Project

David Guillette, Specialist, Data and GIS Region of Peel Maria Mukhtar, Analyst, Research and Policy Region of Peel



Disclosure Statement

 I have no affiliation (financial or otherwise) with a pharmaceutical, medical device or communications organization.





Learn about a multi-sector, collaborative project that measures and monitors the health supportive elements of the built environment



Description of the creation of our walkability index



Demonstration of the Healthy Development Monitoring Map (HDMM) Tool



Population: 1.382 million (2016 census undercount)



Three local municipalities: City of Mississauga, City of Brampton and Town of Caledon



Five 400-series highways



Mix of urban, suburban and rural



Dominated by low density, auto-oriented development







south Mississauga.



SOURCE: Ontario Diabetes Database (Age and sex standardized)



INACTIVITY & OBESITY

\$4 Billion/year Direct & indirect costs

INACTIVITY $\rightarrow 12,500$

12,500 New cases of Diabetes/year







Streetscape Characteristics



Efficient Parking



Built Environment Indicators

Healthy Development Monitoring Map

 Indicators that measure existing built form features according to the six core elements that form municipal health assessment tools

Measures for all local municipalities

Web map includes all 21 indicators and composite indicator

Indicators re-run every five years to monitor for changes

Interactive communication and decision-making tool





Geography

Census Dissemination Area (DA)



Preliminary Indicators

Planning, Geography and Health literature

Province's Growth Plan Performance Indicators

Indicator Projects

HDF Metrics

Planning and Health Department input



TAC Feedback

Preliminary indicators

Technical feedback

Planning and policy context feedback



Creating Indicators

Database validation

GIS methodology problem solving

Ground-truthing

sity	1 .Residential Density							
	2. Population Density							
Den	3. Employment Density							
	4. Population + Employment Density							
Mix	11. Diversity of Land Use							
d Use	12. Diversity of Housing Stock							
Lan	13. Affordable Housing							

	5. Proximity of Residents to Transit									
ity	6. Proximity to Natural Features and Parks									
roxim	7. Proximity of Residents to Grocery Stores									
Service P	8. Proximity of Residents to Schools									
	9. Proximity of Residents to Public Community Retail Services									
	10. Proximity of Employees to Transit									
arking	21. Efficient Parking									

Parking

tics	16. Street Tree Planting
cteris	17. Proximity of Residents to Network
Chara	18. Proximity of Employees to Network
scape	19. Traffic Calming
Street	20. Transit Stop Design
it ivity	14. Intersection Density
Stree Connect	15. Pedestrian Infrastructure







No.	Indicator	Relevance	Simplicity	Validity	Reliability	Data Vintage	Data Quality	Score
1	Residential Density	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	98%
2	Population Density	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	98%
3	Employment Density	4.0	5.0	3,5	3.5	3,5	3.5	36%
4	Population + Employment Density	4.0	5.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	77%
5	Proximity of Residents to Frequent Transit	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	87%
6	Proximity of Residents to Greenspace	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	90%
7	Proximity of Residents to Grocery Stores	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	100%
8	Proximity of Residents to Schools	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	100%
9	Proximity of Residents to Community & Retail Services	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	90%
10	Proximity of Employees to Frequent Transit	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	38%
11	Diversity of Land Use	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	93%
12	Diversity of Housing Stock	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	95%
13	Affordable Housing	5.0	5.0	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	79%
14	Intersection Density	5.0	2.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	88%
15	Pedestrian Infrastructure Ratio	3.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	4.0	61%
16	Street Tree Planting	4.5	4.5	4.0	5.0	2.5	4.0	80%
17	Proximity of Residents to Bicycle Network	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	92%
18	Proximity of Employees to Bicycle Network	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.5	4.0	46%
19	Traffic Calming	5.0	3.5	2.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	75%
20	Transit Stop Design	2.5	3.5	5.0	3.5	5.0	4.0	57%
21	Parking Surface within Employment Area	2.5	2.5	3.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	28%

K < <

Determinant	0.190
КМО	0.757
Bartlett's	0.000
MSA - Max	0.879
MSA - Min	0.712
Eigenvalue Extraction Threshold	0.950
Number of Components	3.000
Total Variance Explained	62.4%
Non Redundant Residuals	53.0%
Component Matrix – Max	0.411
Component Matrix - Min	0.170

Variables	Component							
variables	1	2	3					
SP_7	0.855							
SP_9	0.855							
LU_11	0.570							
SP_6		0.801						
SP_8		0.748						
DG_1			0.868					
LU_12			0.736					
SP_5			0.439					



2. Proximity to Parks and Open Space

SVC.

3. Density and Housing Mix

DAUID	CSDNAME	DG_1	SP_5	SP_6	SP_7	SP_8	SP_9	LU_11	LU_12	Walk Score	Walkability
35210228	Brampton	0.04	0.94	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.77	0.20	0.4925	Moderate
35210229	Brampton	0.01	0.49	1.00	0.60	1.00	0.86	0.80	0.52	0.6590	High
35210231	Brampton	0.02	1.00	0.60	0.66	0.55	0.76	0.81	0.34	0.5916	High
35210233	Brampton	0.04	0.00	1.00	0.57	1.00	0.00	0.75	0.31	0.4590	Moderate
35210234	Brampton	0.04	0.99	0.57	0.95	1.00	0.07	0.71	0.24	0.5715	High
35210239	Mississauga	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.11	0.58	0.95	0.77	0.4269	Moderate
35210240	Mississauga	0.04	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.67	0.82	0.3168	Low
35210241	Mississauga	0.04	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.79	0.71	0.3172	Low
35210242	Mississauga	0.01	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.61	0.28	0.2403	Very Low

$$X_{norm} = \frac{X - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}}$$

Walkability Score = ((DG_1)+(SP_5)+(SP_6)+(SP_7)+(SP_8)+(SP_9)+(LU_11)+(LU_12))/8

Maximum Walkability Score = 96.18 Minimum Walkability Score = 0.01 Maximum Theoretical Score = 100 Minimum Theoretical Score = 0

0





Composite Score and Web App





healthypeelbydesign.ca



